# Newcastle Homelessness Prevention Briefing 2019-20 Q2 & Q3

We want preventing homelessness in the city to be everyone's business. Our quarterly briefings aim to build consensus and a cooperative approach by providing information on:

- data and narrative that tell us about the causes of homelessness
- the perceptions of clients, partners, and workers
- the outcomes and what works for people supported by homelessness services
- new initiatives, policy and legislative changes

This will help us to work together to consider how to:

- make the most of our resources to prevent homelessness and respond to crisis
- build on what is working well to identify and meet our challenges
- create opportunities to intervene earlier, build resilience, prevent homelessness and understand and respond to the underlying causes of homelessness
- revise the city's statutory Homelessness Strategy action plan

Our <u>Active Inclusion Newcastle partnership approach</u> seeks to create the partnership arrangements to prevent homelessness through coordinated support that provides consistent information, advice, and support to develop the foundations for a stable **life**:

somewhere to live

• financial inclusion

• an income

employment opportunities

Our primary challenge is to maintain our high levels of homelessness prevention in the face of the largest public sector and welfare cuts in 60 years. We work with partners to innovate, reduce duplication, increase prevention and provide more effective responses for vulnerable people. More information is provided in <u>Newcastle's Homelessness Strategy 2014-19</u>.

## 1. Our homelessness prevention system

Newcastle's approach to responding to homelessness is based on prevention wherever possible and humanely responding to crisis where not. This means understanding demand and the causes of homelessness and intervening upstream to prevent the threat of homelessness turning into a crisis. We work in this way because it's the right thing to do for our residents and it's cost effective, it also means making the most of our limited resources.

In Newcastle, there are two broad 'groups' who are at risk of homelessness: thousands of residents who are at risk of a crisis in their lives, mainly due to poverty exacerbated by the welfare reforms; and a much smaller group who live a life in crisis, who have had a life of severe and multiple disadvantage that leads to repeated social exclusion over the life course. The needs of the most at-risk residents who sleep rough are complex, e.g. 80% have drug addictions, 55% mental health problems and 95% an offending history. For both groups, lives are dominated by uncertainty and homelessness is more a symptom of the underlying issues that cause homelessness. Hence, our approach is based on developing coordinated advice and support for residents to have the foundations for a stable life: somewhere to live, an income, financial inclusion and employment opportunities.

Our approach to preventing homelessness is to intervene early. This means building on the value of a secure home as a foundation for a stable life, aligning our systems to further improve the identification of the risk of homelessness and the effectiveness of our responses and consolidating our upstream interventions.

Our homelessness prevention system operates at following levels:

- Primary prevention: for those residents who may be at risk of homelessness in the future, but are not immediately threatened in the next two months
- Secondary prevention: for those residents who are threatened within the statutory definition of the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017
- Crisis: for those residents who are 'literally' homeless (they do not have any accommodation they can reasonably occupy)

|            | Risk<br>timescale   |  |
|------------|---------------------|--|
| At risk    | Over 57<br>days     | Residents identified through our "upstream" prevention<br>projects and those who we identify using predictive data and<br>information received from other "touch points" to target those<br>at greater risk of homeless. These include residents who<br>present direct to services and, if we offer no support, will<br>require statutory homeless assistance in the future. Many<br>residents self-present to services unaware there is a threat of<br>homelessness if issues remain unaddressed. |
| Threatened | Within 56<br>days   | Residents identified by our specialist services through "case<br>finding" or self-identification to services and partner agencies.<br>These are residents for whom, without intervention,<br>homelessness is likely to occur within 56 days.   |
| Crisis     | Already<br>homeless | Residents presenting in crisis to statutory services at the point of homelessness, or who are already homeless – some members of this group will have prolonged experience of homelessness including rough sleeping.   |

We are making good progress in developing a citywide, systemic approach to proactively identifying and responding to the risk of homelessness, by working with partners in the voluntary, business, church and public sector to maximise the value of our collective investment to better achieve our common aim to end homelessness. We are using the learning from our <u>Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer</u> to improve crisis responses. This requires developing a learning framework approach, based on a common evidence base and a common understanding of residents needs so we can collectively understand the individual, systemic and structural causes of homelessness and the effectiveness of our responses.

On 20 June 2019 Newcastle City Council and Crisis announced a formal partnership focused on ending homelessness in Newcastle. This partnership will enable us to move from this strong record of preventing and relieving homelessness, to ending it altogether. The partnership will begin with an evidence review that will help us to start to form this collective understanding, but we will also need to learn and develop as we go on. The evidence review will inform the development of a strategy to end homelessness in Newcastle over ten years, which in turn creates a realistic and exciting opportunity to unite the city, enabling us to align our resources to do what's right by making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in Newcastle.

# 2. Primary prevention: for those residents who may be at risk of homelessness in the future, but are not immediately threatened in the next two months

Primary prevention activities are interventions that the council and partners undertake to identify and target households who may be at future risk of homelessness – information for the public and professionals, training for non-specialists, developing resources, partnership working and system coordination to identify risk and prevent crisis.

In 2018-19 we provided universal advice and information to 3,550 subscribers and had 76,937 hits on our web pages dedicated to <u>homelessness prevention</u> and <u>financial inclusion</u>. We now want to build on this work by gaining a better understanding of how the information we provide is used by partners and the impact it has as in preventing homelessness as part of the wider Newcastle advice and support system.

We seek to make the best use of our limited face to face specialist advice services by helping non-specialist services to identify the risks of homelessness earlier, to enable timely preventative responses. This means moving from signposting to proportionate partnership arrangements. To help us with this we have developed "spectrums of advice" for <u>housing and homelessness</u> and <u>financial inclusion</u>, which describe three broad tiers (general information, general advice and specialist advice) and act as a guide to help us better understand where partners fit into Newcastle's homelessness prevention system and what support they need to do this. 134 agencies currently participate in our <u>partnership working arrangements</u>, which helps to develop 'universal' responses.

To complement our <u>spectrum of advice</u> we provide quarterly 'Introduction to Housing and Homelessness in Newcastle' training for those working in tier 1 and 2, supplemented by elearning. This training offers an overview of homelessness legislation, an explanation of the different kinds of housing tenure and the rules that govern them, how to apply for social housing, and how to query and challenge housing and homelessness decisions. They also cover practical information about the role of the council and the local policies and procedures that we and partners have put in place to prevent homelessness. We also offer online and face to face training in benefits, debt and budgeting and preventing sanctions, in recognition that these issues, unaddressed, can lead to homelessness. In 2018-19 we provided training to 503 volunteers and professionals from our network of partners.

The Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer has also provided an opportunity to test new ways of working to upstream the prevention of homelessness, to make the identification and prevention of homelessness everyone's business and to improve outcomes for those residents experiencing homelessness.

In working towards integrated responses for residents, Newcastle is a transformational city that aims to break down the barriers between public services to enable residents to get better, more proactive, integrated advice and support that seeks to solve problems rather than just to pass problems on to another service or place. To help us to do this, to maximise the contacts that residents have with publicly funded services and to provide more integrated responses to residents, as part of our Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer programme we developed:

- A Homelessness Prevention Pilot with Jobcentre Plus to identify and respond to the risk of homelessness related to benefit administration and unemployment, and to reduce the impact that unstable housing can have on residents' search for work; and
- A multidisciplinary team to trial delivering integrated casework on housing, financial and employment issues for residents facing specific challenges or changes in circumstances, or where existing services aren't designed to provide the intensity of support required, and to systematically capture the learning from doing so

#### **Homelessness Prevention Pilot with Jobcentre Plus**

The pilot is a partnership between Newcastle City Council, Jobcentre Plus, Your Homes Newcastle (YHN – the arms' length management organisation which manages council housing in Newcastle) and the national homelessness charity Crisis. The pilot started in June 2017 and has involved:

- Training 134 Jobcentre Plus Work Coaches in how to identify and respond to residents who have unstable housing or are at risk of homelessness
- Giving Work Coaches ways to refer residents who have unstable housing or are at risk of homelessness to specialist advice and support, clarifying which is the most appropriate service to respond
- Helping Work Coaches and staff from the above organisations to jointly determine the best way to respond to those residents, with housing and homelessness services working to resolve residents' housing issues and updating Jobcentre Plus. Jobcentre Plus can then put in place easements to residents' work-related conditionality and adapt Claimant Commitments, if necessary

The pilot is included in the government's <u>Rough Sleeping Strategy</u> (August 2018) with a commitment from the government to "share as best practice the innovative partnership work between Newcastle Jobcentre Plus and Newcastle Local Authority, an early adopter of the Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer Programme across the whole Jobcentre Plus network".

The Homelessness Prevention Pilot is now continuing beyond the end of the Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer programme and 139 referrals were received under the Jobcentre partnership arrangement between April 2019 and March 2020. We are aiming to strengthen the partnership to make further improvements to identifying and responding to the risk of homelessness related to benefit administration and unemployment and to reducing the impact that unstable housing can have on residents' search for work. A new programme of training work coaches is being established to strengthen the partnership alongside regular quarterly meetings with partners in the programme to maintain the good practice established.

#### Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer multidisciplinary team

The multidisciplinary team was originally developed and appointed as part of Newcastle's <u>Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer</u> programme, funded by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG). This initial pilot ran from 9 October 2017 until 29 March 2019. The learning from the team's work over this period was captured in a <u>summative report</u>. The team secured additional funding from <u>Newcastle City Council's 'Life</u> <u>Chances' fund</u> to continue their work until 30 September 2020, incorporating Early Help support in their model.

The team is aligned to the <u>Active Inclusion Newcastle</u> partnership aim of supporting residents to have a stable **life** – somewhere to live, an income, financial inclusion and employment opportunities – and includes disciplines that provide specialist information, advice and support

to contribute to delivering this aim. For the second phase, the team is comprised of the following specialist caseworkers:

- 1. **Housing** secondment of a Support and Progression Worker from Your Homes Newcastle (YHN)
- 2. Welfare benefits outposting of a Welfare Rights Officer from Newcastle City Council
- 3. Debt and budgeting outposting of a Debt Advisor from Newcastle City Council
- 4. **Employment** loan of a Work Coach from Jobcentre Plus
- 5. **Early Help** secondment of an Early Help Development Worker from Newcastle City Council

The multidisciplinary team has four primary aims:

- To proactively identify residents facing certain issues or changes in circumstances, or where existing services aren't designed to meet the intensity of personalised support required
- To deliver integrated casework on housing, financial and employment issues to these residents to help them to achieve and maintain the foundations for a stable life
- To provide infrastructure support to help services and organisations to adapt to meet the challenges of a reduced welfare state, strengthen our local system, and be more responsive to residents' needs
- To capture the learning from the team's ways of working and to contribute to evidence on the issues that residents are experiencing and the challenges they face to inform local and national policy and practice

The team began their second phase of work on 9 September 2019 and began approaching households from 9 October 2019, after they had established the foundations for integrated casework

The first route for identifying households in phase two was a route aligned to a review of the council's debt collection processes. The multidisciplinary team are informing this review who are targeting proactive, preventative advice and support to families who have Council Tax and YHN rent arrears and a Housing Benefit overpayment. By analysing these cases, alongside providing direct support, we hope to improve understanding of residents' circumstances and the effectiveness of our interventions. At the end of this review period (6 January 2020), the team had approached 42 households from three variations on a route aligned to a review of the council's debt collection processes. 16 were YHN tenants, 14 were private rented sector tenants, and 12 were tenants of registered social landlords (RSL).

In January 2020, the team also began to identify and approach households through a new route, using predictive analytics. They will approach households who are YHN tenants, live in the east of the city and have a Discretionary Housing Payment that is due to end in March 2020. The intention is to approach these households in advance of their DHP award ending and offer to renew their award while using this as an incentive to engage in longer term support that addresses the underlying reasons why they need a DHP in the first place.

#### Sustaining Tenancies and YHN prevention of homelessness

The tables below show a breakdown of the homelessness prevention and relief cases reported to us by YHN's Support and Progression service; the headings and definitions used below are those provided by Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) for homelessness recording. YHN employ 70 staff within their Support and Progression Service,

supporting prospective and existing tenants to have suitable and sustainable accommodation. The risk of homelessness in YHN is usually identified by changes to the tenant's rent account and then support is offered. This approach has seen evictions from Newcastle's council housing fall by 71% between the introduction of <u>Sustaining Tenancies Guidance</u> in 2007 and 2019. This has been achieved despite the impact of the welfare reforms and YHN rent arrears rising to £4.45m in 2019 from £2.4m in 2012. The level of forbearance is a policy decision based partly on understanding the cash flow problems Universal Credit creates for tenants and this forbearance is aligned to the provision of advice and support. The low level of evictions from YHN can be seen in section 4.

| YHN homelessness<br>preventions                                 | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|---|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Housing related support to<br>sustain accommodation             | 976     | 157         | 120         | 101         |             | 378     |
| Resolved benefit problems                                       | 663     | 133         | 99          | 81          |             | 313     |
| Debt advice   | 154     | 26          | 23          | 14          |             | 63      |
| Negotiation/mediation work to secure return to family or friend | 10      | 3           | 2           | 1           |             | 6       |

We can see from the table above that most of the interventions to prevent homelessness fall in to two categories: housing related support (where additional support is given to tenants to help them maintain their tenancies, which could include support to attend appointments and referrals to additional support where required) or where advice and support is given to resolve benefit issues.

The table below covers Support and Progression's contribution towards homelessness relief. As they work for a landlord it is inevitable that these numbers will be lower than their prevention figures which reflect the work they do to keep people in accommodation rather than find an alternative , and in the main these figures reflect the work that the pathways team within the service do to help those leaving institutions (hospital, supported accommodation, asylum accommodation) find suitable and sustainable accommodation.

| YHN homelessness relief   | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|---|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Accommodation secured by local<br>authority or organisation<br>delivering housing options service | 331     | 41          | 55          | 44          |             | 140     |
| Negotiation/mediation work to secure return to family or friend                                   | 23      | 2           | 7           | 5           |             | 14      |
| Other activity through which accommodation secured  | 18      | 6           | 1           | 5           |             | 12      |

We are fortunate in Newcastle to be able to make good use of social housing with Your Homes Newcastle to be able to both prevent and relieve homelessness, As part of the Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer programme Heriot-Watt were commissioned to research <u>Homelessness</u> <u>Prevention in Newcastle: Examining the role of the 'local state' in the context of austerity and welfare reforms (2019)</u>. This research found that council housing and a partnership culture of homelessness prevention as the main enablers for the prevention of homelessness in the city:

"Newcastle has low levels of homelessness compared to other core cities, and its surrounding Housing Market Area, on almost all measures. In particular, the city records very low levels of homelessness acceptances, households in temporary accommodation, and levels of street homelessness, and no use of unsuitable temporary accommodation like Bed and Breakfast accommodation for homeless households.

The most likely combined explanations for these low levels of homelessness are Newcastle's housing market context – a relatively large stock of council housing more conducive to homelessness prevention, higher social lettings rates and lower private rent levels – and the city's very strong emphasis on and network of services for homelessness prevention."

The role of Cherry Tree View in upstream homelessness prevention is shown in the table below which shows us the admits to the Preventative Outreach Service and subsequent discharge information.

| Admits to Cherry Tree View<br>preventative outreach                  | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|--|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total admits   | 243     | 70          | 80          | 109         |             | 259     |
| Sustaining Tenancies referral  | 164     | 41          | 55          | 72          |             | 168     |
| CTV move on  | 50      | 22          | 22          | 24          |             | 68      |
| HAC homelessness prevention<br>cases                                 | 29      | 7           | 3           | 13          |             | 23      |
| Discharges from preventative   | 2018-19 | 19-20       | 19-20       | 19-20       | 19-20       | 2019-20 |
| outreach   |         | Q1          | Q2          | Q3          | Q4          |         |
| Total discharges in the quarter                                      | 153     | 41          | 64          | 61          |             | 166     |
| <ul> <li>Tenancy sustained / YHN<br/>engaging with client</li> </ul> | 88      | 17          | 30          | 23          |             | 70      |
| Move on case – support ended   | 32      | 16          | 16          | 29          |             | 61      |
| Service failed to engage with<br>client                              | 27      | 8           | 17          | 9           |             | 31      |
| Evicted – no further contact   | 5       | 0           | 1           | 0           |             | 1       |

We can see that in Q3 that the numbers of referrals and referral routes are like previous quarters, with most referrals coming via the Sustaining Tenancies guidance route. We have asked YHN and Cherry Tree View to work together to look in more detail at which YHN tenants are being referred for additional outreach support and to ensure there is continuity of support at the point that Cherry Tree View believe their involvement can end and the resident is still in their tenancy. YHN Support and Progression are currently moving their case recording to the Gateway from and this will aid the joint support planning process and give us the ability to better track a resident's journey through support systems

#### Suitable and sustainable accommodation

In Newcastle, many residents at risk of homelessness are supported by our upstream prevention partners, with the aim of maintaining them in accommodation or finding alternatives before they reach crisis point. In addition, the current legal definition does not include all the criteria being applied locally to determine whether accommodation is suitable for a household, or the support and resources available to households who may need extra help to sustain independent accommodation.

Through this workstream, we aim to work together to develop a local definition of suitable and sustainable accommodation that is adopted by all partners working with households at risk of homelessness. In doing this, we want to ensure that as a city, we are confident that whenever we support a household into (or back to) accommodation, it is both suitable for their needs and sustainable given their circumstances, with support in place where necessary. Developing a rights-based approach to suitable and sustainable accommodation gives households at risk of

homelessness or who have experienced homelessness the best chance of avoiding future crisis and creates a basis from which to work towards the remaining foundations of a stable life:

We have begun testing our definition with YHN Refugee Move on Team, using their standardised action plans for clients leaving asylum accommodation, receiving support as part of the Syrian Vulnerable Persons resettlement Scheme, or receiving support for family reunion. In January 2020 we completed a desktop exercise to check these standardised actions against the components of our definition, to determine the level of overlap between existing (standardised) activity and our ambitions. We have found that most areas of our working definition of suitable and sustainable accommodation are included in the standardised approach, and we want to develop a checklist approach that can be extended to other teams and organisations.

### What do we need to do next

We are working to prevent homelessness in Newcastle by improving our collective sharing, reviewing, analysing, understanding and responding. We do this by providing <u>partnership</u> <u>working arrangements</u>, jointly planning, providing consistent communications and workforce development, and simplifying processes, wherever possible. This has been especially important given that the government's welfare reforms, and the increased conditionality that now comes with welfare benefits, mean that residents have limited options to prevent homelessness.

In relation to the partnership work with the Jobcentre we will be reinstating the quarterly liaison meetings with the other partners in the process, Crisis and YHN. This will allow us to reinforce the aims and objectives of the partnership and ensure the referral and outcome information can be captured accurately. There will also be a refresh of the initial training of Jobcentre work coaches that took place in 2017 to ensure they remain aware of the role in the partnership and in the prevention of homelessness

Since October 2019, monthly meetings have been established between the DHP and Vulnerability Team and Active Inclusion Newcastle Unit, and since December 2019 have also included a representative from Cherry Tree View (CTV). These meetings have focused on reviewing the existing process and content of the DHP alignment with support form. We used a sample group of recipients whose awards were ending in November and December 2019 to test the detail being fed back to the DHP team on the outcomes of support referrals. Based on these discussions we have already made some developments:

We know that there is more we can do to further strengthen our homeless prevention system. We must improve our information and evidence to better understand the causes of homelessness. Improving our targeted responses would require us to further develop our partnerships with other public sector agencies, including those within our own council (e.g. Social Care, Revenues and Benefits). We also want to extend our homelessness prevention work to health and social care services, to support vulnerable residents to have a stable life.

# 3. Secondary prevention: for those residents who are threatened within the statutory definition of the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017 (HRAct)

This category covers our support for people presenting to the Housing Advice Centre (HAC) at risk of homelessness and information on people accessing accommodation commissioned by the council to respond to homelessness. Information on the work done to relieve the homelessness of clients is included in section 4.

Under the HRAct local authorities have two new main duties:

- The "prevention" duty In cases of threatened homelessness the local authority must take 'reasonable steps' to help them avoid becoming homeless. The tables below cover this element
- The "relief" duty In cases where the resident is homeless the local authority must take 'reasonable steps' to help applicants to secure accommodation for at least six months. We have included the figures on this in section 4, which covers those residents who are 'literally' homeless (they do not have any accommodation they can reasonably occupy)

The table below shows the headline figures of presentations to HAC. In Q1 there was a small drop in the number of calls to the EHO compared to the previous quarter, but that the general contacts and HAC casework figures remained relatively stable (though still higher than pre-HRAct).

| Volume                       | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Emergency out of hours calls | 943     | 207         | 214         | 236         |             | 657     |
| General HAC contacts         | 2,984   | 831         | 829         | 999         |             | 2,659   |
| HAC casework                 | 2,523   | 620         | 631         | 630         |             | 1,881   |
|                              |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| Support needs (top 3)        |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| Mental health                | 1,190   | 300         | 296         | 305         |             | 901     |
| Physical health              | 899     | 246         | 245         | 223         |             | 714     |
| Offending history            | 845     | 225         | 206         | 226         |             | 657     |

The table below shows the number of cases where the prevention duty was ended in the quarter and the reasons why the resident presented to HAC.

|   | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|---|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Prevention duties ended   | 454     | 127         | 100         | 113         |             | 340     |
| Top reasons for presenting  |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| End of private rented tenancy   | 127     | 39          | 26          | 30          |             | 95      |
| Other   | 107     | 20          | 6           | 2           |             | 28      |
| Family no longer willing or able to accommodate                                 | 63      | 16          | 14          | 23          |             | 53      |
| Relationship with partner ended (non-violent)                                   | 43      | 3           | 10          | 6           |             | 19      |
| Required to leave<br>accommodation provided by<br>Home Office as asylum support | 36      | 12          | 8           | 18          |             | 38      |

We can see from the table above that as with pre-HRAct presentations, the end of a private rented tenancy continues to be the biggest reason for presentations. The number of cases recorded as 'other' has fallen substantially as we have worked to refine the recording of cases under the HRAct.

The table below shows the number of cases where the prevention duty was ended in the quarter, the main activity carried out and the outcomes.

|   | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|---|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Prevention duties ended                                   | 454     | 127         | 100         | 113         |             | 340     |
| Top 5 prevention activities                               |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| Advice and information provided                           | 164     | 59          | 42          | 37          |             | 138     |
| Accommodation secured                                     | 117     | 37          | 20          | 44          |             | 101     |
| Negotiation / mediation /<br>advocacy to prevent eviction | 18      | 10          | 11          | 11          |             | 32      |
| Supported housing provided                                | 12      | 5           | 3           | 5           |             | 13      |
| Mediation with friends / family                           | 34      | 2           | 2           | 6           |             | 10      |
| Top 3 outcomes  |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| Alternative accommodation secured for 12 months or more   | 93      | 43          | 22          | 35          |             | 100     |
| Contact lost  | 84      | 20          | 21          | 24          |             | 65      |
| Alternative accommodation secured for 6 months or more    | 84      | 17          | 14          | 17          |             | 48      |
| Secured existing accommodation for 6 months               | 59      | 14          | 13          | 11          |             | 38      |
| Prevention duty failed                                    | 50      | 17          | 12          | 10          |             | 39      |
| Secured existing accommodation for 12 months              | 39      | 9           | 6           | 4           |             | 19      |

In Q3 59% of prevention cases were closed with either existing accommodation secured, or a longer-term alternative found. We are continuing to review the measures we use to record our interventions under the HRAct and, whilst some indicators are driven by requirements of the official returns to MHCLG, we are keen to widen the scope of our information in order to gain a clearer idea of the range of our interventions and their outcomes. This is particularly true in the reporting of the homelessness prevention figures.

The Council commissions a range of services for people at risk of homelessness including those with complex needs. The responses we commission have historically been to meet our homelessness duties. This includes 770 rooms from third party providers for people facing homelessness in a range of supported accommodation settings.

The table below shows us the number of admits to accommodation-based services, broken down by short term relief, supported and emergency units and the reason for admission to short term relief and supported accommodation (Further detail on the emergency bed admits is given in section 4). What was previously described as crisis accommodation is now known as short term relief accommodation, it also worth noting that in Q3, Elliot House closed for admits and decanted their exiting residents to alternative provision in advance of its refurbishment.

| Supported accommodation<br>admissions | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total number of admits                | 1,567   | 381         | 382         | 430         |             | 1,193   |
| Emergency beds                        | 479     | 102         | 86          | 139         |             | 327     |
| Short term relief                     | 481     | 114         | 133         | 113         |             | 360     |
| Supported     accommodation           | 607     | 165         | 163         | 178         |             | 506     |
| Total number of individuals           | 906     | 286         | 297         | 307         |             |         |

| Reason for admission        | 2018-19 | 19-20 | 19-20 | 19-20 | 19-20 | 2019-20 |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| (short term relief)         |         | Q1    | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    |         |
| Not recorded / not known    | 105     | 8     | 7     | 6     |       | 21      |
| Move from another hostel    | 111     | 36    | 37    | 33    |       | 106     |
| Relationship breakdown      | 50      | 27    | 30    | 19    |       | 76      |
| Discharge from institution  | 77      | 17    | 23    | 26    |       | 66      |
| Reason for admission        | 2018-19 | 19-20 | 19-20 | 19-20 | 19-20 | 2019-20 |
| (supported)                 |         | Q1    | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    |         |
| Not recorded / not known    | 51      | 14    | 19    | 11    |       | 44      |
| Moved from another hostel   | 227     | 80    | 58    | 55    |       | 193     |
| (planned)                   |         |       |       |       |       |         |
| Relationship breakdown      | 164     | 26    | 40    | 53    |       | 119     |
| Discharge from institutions | 57      | 18    | 22    | 21    |       | 62      |

We can see from the table above that there was a rise in the total number of admits this quarter and in the number of individuals that those admits relate to. There is more detail on the emergency bed admits in section 4 of this briefing, as the use of these beds has helped us to deliver on our aim to make an offer of accommodation to everyone with a connection to Newcastle who is literally homeless, regardless of their priority need status. There will be degree of double counting with the admits to the emergency accommodation as in many cases there is a subsequent admit to short term relief or supported accommodation in the same quarter. We are looking at way of separating out this recording for future briefings so that it is clearer where multiple placements in a quarter are the result of an emergency bed admit and a subsequent move to crisis or supported accommodation.

The table below shows us the measures recorded under the <u>Supported Housing Move On</u> <u>protocol</u> and shows that whilst there has been a small rise in the number of discharges, the majority of those discharges (39%) are still moves to another supported accommodation placement, confirming our analysis that there is a high degree of churn within the sector.

| Move on assessments<br>completed in the quarter                               |         | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 |         |
|---|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total assessments added   |         | 499         | 513         | 519         |             |         |
| <ul> <li>Number of 'red' (likely to<br/>require long-term support)</li> </ul> |         | 96          | 97          | 102         |             |         |
| Number of 'amber' (further support required)                                  |         | 269         | 286         | 291         |             |         |
| <ul> <li>Number of 'green' (ready to move to independent living)</li> </ul>   |         | 107         | 115         | 126         |             |         |
| Tyne and Wear Homes<br>applications submitted in the<br>quarter               |         | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 |         |
| Total applications submitted  |         | 32          | 25          | 43          |             |         |
| Number of 'qualifying'  |         | 12          | 10          | 7           |             |         |
| Number of 'non- qualifying'   |         | 8           | 4           | 2           |             |         |
| Awaiting decision   |         | 9           | 8           | 29          |             |         |
| Information not given   |         | 3           | 3           | 5           |             |         |
| Move on destination   | 2018-19 | 19-20       | 19-20       | 19-20       | 19-20       | 2019-20 |

|                            |       | Q1  | Q2  | Q3  | Q4 |       |
|----------------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|----|-------|
| Total number of discharges | 1,566 | 390 | 379 | 407 |    | 1,176 |
| Supported accommodation    | 556   | 153 | 107 | 161 |    | 421   |
| Independent tenancy        | 211   | 61  | 45  | 48  |    | 154   |
| Friends and family         | 172   | 53  | 69  | 52  |    | 174   |

Only 12% of those leaving commissioned accommodation in the quarter moved to an independent tenancy of any kind, and over half of those who did moved to a YHN tenancy.

We have always seen a move to YHN as highly desirable due to the level of security of tenure and support available for potentially vulnerable tenants. Through the MHCLG Rapid Rehousing Pathway we were successful in our bid to deliver additional support to move residents on from supported and hostel accommodation, support that will be provided by YHN's Support and Progression Service. This funding will:

- increase the capacity of the YHN Support and Progression team, to enable specialist workers to support the pathway from supported accommodation (including emergency crisis beds) and those being discharged from institutions into YHN tenancies
- provide tenancy support to enable independent accommodation to be sustained
- fund 2 Pathways Workers who will engage with supported accommodation providers to identify actions to address barriers that would otherwise prevent those most excluded from accessing accommodation. These workers will also directly support people though the Pre-Tenancy Readiness Assessment process (PTRA) and, in conjunction with their Support Worker and specialist drug and alcohol and mental health practitioners, identify support needs and offer appropriate interventions to ensure tenancies can be sustained

This work will be alongside the development of the Beechgrove project which YHN opened last quarter, an innovative scheme to deliver 5 units of semi-independent living with support for people moving on from supported accommodation and hostels. The next step is to evaluate this project and hopefully see the concept rolled out to other small blocks throughout the city.

|  | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|--|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total number of evictions                          | 212     | 45          | 45          | 43          |             | 133     |
| Evictions from short term relief     accommodation | 139     | 29          | 31          | 28          |             | 88      |
| Evictions from supported     accommodation         | 73      | 16          | 14          | 15          |             | 45      |
| Reason for eviction                                |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| Violence to staff or other residents               | 62      | 13          | 15          | 11          |             | 39      |
| Disruptive behaviour                               | 77      | 14          | 14          | 15          |             | 43      |
| Drug / alcohol abuse                               | 15      | 4           | 6           | 5           |             | 15      |
| Rent arrears                                       | 12      | 2           | 5           | 4           |             | 11      |
| Theft  | 5       | 3           | 1           | 3           |             | 7       |
| Other  | 41      | 9           | 4           | 5           |             | 18      |

The table above covers the measures recorded as part of the <u>Prevention of Eviction from</u> <u>Supported Housing Protocol</u> and shows us that there has been a rise this quarter in the number of people being evicted. Most evictions (66%) have again come from crisis accommodation, with violence and disruptive behaviour again accounting for most evictions (66%). Addressing the level of evictions from commissioned provision is one of the main areas of focus for Commissioning's Service Improvement Lead and they have undertaken a review of the protocol in line with Homeless Link principles around managing risk in accommodation

### What we need to do next

Beyond the end of the Homelessness Prevention Trailblazer programme, building on the closer working with our commissioned accommodation services and with the Housing Advice Centre, Commissioning has been able to identify and co-design specific functions and requirements that have been reflected in the system models and service specifications for the new 'Homelessness Prevention and Relief Responses' contracts that came in to place on 1 October 2019. These contracts have a stronger preventative focus and greater emphasis on partnership working to support our statutory duties and policy aims, including:

- improving our evidence base by increasing data quality this will be achieved through a "data payments" Payment by Results element in the new contracting arrangements;
- developing accommodation models that can respond flexibly to unpredictable demand, including scaling up of a Housing First provision – this will be a longer-term programme of work with cross-council partners and accommodation providers in the city to transform our accommodation, moving from larger single site hostels to smaller environments that are more dispersed and community-based; and
- creating more opportunities for collaboration through a 'distributed leadership' approach with commissioned accommodation providers, looking at joint solutions to improve our responses

Although we have outlined a wide range of our activities, it is important to recognise that there are differences in the availability and quality of homelessness 'pathway' services, e.g. our responses for those leaving prison and asylum were identified in the Heriot-Watt research as areas for improvement. It was also highlighted that prevention activities differ by tenure, e.g. private rented sector and housing association tenants have fewer and less effective responses, which are limited by a lack of information making it more difficult to systematically identify and respond to the risk of homelessness at a sufficiently early stage. We also know we need to improve our information systems to better understand the impact of our interventions. YHN's Support and Progression Service starting to use the <u>Newcastle Gateway</u> will help to improve our understanding of residents' needs and the effectiveness of our responses.

Since January 2019 we have been working with <u>Groundswell</u> to review our options for supporting residents who have experience of homelessness to inform the design of our homelessness prevention system. We want to move beyond a service user representation model to embedding meaningful participation routinely across our review processes. To do this, it is important to ensure that the views of residents with experience of homelessness are embedded into our decision making processes, rather than undertaking 'one-off' consultation exercises. We have undertaken initially to ensure that we widen the resident participation and voice in our quarterly review process

# 4. Crisis: for those residents who are 'literally' homeless (they do not have any accommodation they can reasonably occupy)

This section covers our responses to people who are literally homeless and includes those sleeping rough as well those presenting to HAC as literally homeless and those admitted to Cherry Tree View.

The table below shows the main reasons that people presented to us when already homeless and in need of the 'relief' duty under the HRAct. The majority are as a result of friends or family no longer being able to accommodate them.

|   | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|---|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Relief duties ended                             | 1,069   | 336         | 292         | 349         |             | 977     |
| Top 5 reasons for presenting                    |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| Family no longer willing or able to accommodate | 227     | 74          | 52          | 82          |             | 208     |
| Other   | 184     | 32          | 19          | 22          |             | 73      |
| Relationship with partner ended (non-violent)   | 119     | 34          | 33          | 27          |             | 94      |
| Eviction from supported housing                 | 81      | 26          | 27          | 35          |             | 88      |
| End of private rented tenancy                   | 72      | 27          | 18          | 26          |             | 71      |

As with the prevention figures in section 3 above, we have aimed to reduce the number of cases categorised as 'other' and this has fallen substantially as a reason for homelessness. There is also a lower rate proportionally of people presenting as homeless due to the end of a private rented tenancy.

|  | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|--|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Relief duties ended  | 1,069   | 336         | 292         | 349         |             | 977     |
| Top 5 relief activities                                      |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| Supported housing provided                                   | 479     | 119         | 122         | 163         |             | 404     |
| No activity / advice and<br>information provided             | 307     | 115         | 84          | 103         |             | 302     |
| Accommodation secured  | 187     | 76          | 63          | 62          |             | 201     |
| Negotiation / mediation to secure return to friends / family | 25      | 6           | 4           | 3           |             | 13      |
| Top 5 outcomes   | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
| Secured accommodation for 6<br>months                        | 433     | 101         | 69          | 103         |             | 273     |
| Contact lost   | 248     | 58          | 83          | 83          |             | 224     |
| Secured accommodation for 12 months or more                  | 166     | 98          | 87          | 92          |             | 277     |
| Local connection referral<br>accepted by other LA            | 114     | 38          | 27          | 32          |             | 97      |
| Withdrew application / applicant deceased                    | 59      | 21          | 15          | 24          |             | 60      |

The table above shows that the outcome under the relief duty for 59% of people wa2s securing accommodation for at least 6 months (the minimum requirement under the Act) and 11% of presentations were reconnected back to the local authority area where they had a connection.

| Admits to Cherry Tree View temporary accommodation | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|--|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total admits                                       | 294     | 59          | 65          | 44          |             | 168     |
| HRAct admits                                       | 247     | 53          | 58          | 42          |             | 153     |
| Non HRAct admits                                   | 47      | 6           | 6           | 2           |             | 14      |

The table above shows the number of admits to Chery Tree View temporary accommodation. We have aggregated the admits showing those that came via the Housing Advice Centre after an assessment under the Homelessness Reduction Act and those that were placements outside of this duty and include temporary moves requested by YHN and placements in an emergency by social care

The table below gives shows us the admits to emergency accommodation this quarter. We have also included some discharge information to show that the majority of those placed in an emergency bed then move on to a full placement in either crisis or supported accommodation. 75% of placements in emergency accommodation lasted less than 5 days.

| Admits to emergency beds             | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total admits                         | 479     | 102         | 86          | 139         |             | 327     |
| Sleeping rough                       |         | 15          | 25          | 50          |             | 90      |
| Leaving an institution               |         | 19          | 14          | 24          |             | 57      |
| Asked to leave – family / friends    |         | 37          | 22          | 21          |             | 80      |
| Outcomes                             |         |             |             |             |             |         |
| Total discharges in quarter          | 478     | 102         | 85          | 134         |             | 321     |
| Moved to supported     accommodation | 148     | 77          | 51          | 88          |             | 216     |
| NFA                                  | 146     | 13          | 21          | 30          |             | 21      |

The table below shows that this quarter there has been a rise in the number of evictions from YHN. These evictions represent those cases where every intervention has been exhausted and whilst they are risen the last two quarters this is still a low number of evictions from a provider with the amount of housing stock that YHN has. We have included these figures in the Crisis section as they represent those cases where interventions have in the most part failed to prevent a crisis

|                    | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 |    | 19-20<br>Q4 | 2019-20 |
|--------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|----|-------------|---------|
| Evictions from YHN | 57      | 2           | 15          | 17 |             | 34      |

The table below represents our drive towards real time reporting of literally homeless presentations to the Housing Advice Centre. Our aim in Newcastle is to ensure there is at least one offer of accommodation to all those who present with nowhere to go that night, whilst accepting there are some limitations to this. We are continually seeking to improve the way we collect and present this information in order to better explain the offer available and the outcomes available to people. It is important to note that the numbers in this table relate to all those presenting to HAC as literally homeless, which covers a wider definition than just those sleeping rough. The rough sleeper co-ordinator has begun a daily sign of those presenting to HAC and those found sleeping rough by the outreach team with the aim of having one "by name" list of those in the city who are, or who at immediate risk of sleeping rough

|  | Q2<br>2019-20 | Oct<br>19 | Nov<br>19 | Dec<br>19 | Q3<br>2019-20 |
|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| Literally homeless presentations                           | 237           | 105       | 97        | 77        | 279           |
| Accommodation offered                                      | 165           | 84        | 68        | 62        | 214           |
| <ul> <li>Accepted by applicant</li> </ul>                  | 138           | 60        | 59        | 56        | 175           |
| <ul> <li>Refused by applicant</li> </ul>                   | 27            | 24        | 9         | 6         | 39            |
| No accommodation offer made                                | 23            | 7         | 10        | 7         | 24            |
| No accommodation available                                 | 8             | 6         | 4         | 3         | 13            |
| Accommodation providers     refusing to accept             | 15            | 1         | 6         | 4         | 11            |
| HAC not seeking accommodation                              | 38            | 14        | 15        | 6         | 35            |
| No local connection  | 38            | 12        | 12        | 4         | 28            |
| <ul> <li>Reconnection accepted by<br/>applicant</li> </ul> | 25            | 10        | 9         | 4         | 23            |
| <ul> <li>Reconnection refused by<br/>applicant</li> </ul>  | 13            | 2         | 3         | 0         | 5             |
| Other  | 0             | 0         | 3         | 0         | 3             |
| Serious unacceptable     behaviour                         | 0             | 0         | 2         | 0         | 2             |
| Exhausted all legal duty                                   | 0             | 0         | 1         | 0         | 1             |
| Not legally eligible for assistance                        | 11            | 0         | 4         | 2         | 6             |

The main source of the information we have on people rough sleeping in Newcastle is from Changing Lives' Outreach Team which we commission to provide daily street outreach and a rough sleeping drop in centre. Information from the Outreach Team shows increasing numbers of people found rough sleeping as shown in the table below. The above figures are based on the Outreach Team's **daily reports** on their contacts with people who they find in the city centre and surrounding areas. The Outreach Team also respond to alerts from members of the public that contact the Council's rough sleeping line. Although the information has limitations and might not capture everyone that is rough sleeping, it does provide a daily snapshot in contrast to government's single annual snapshot. Our official annual rough sleeping snapshots show a steady increase since 2016, although our latest count of 10 in January 2020 showed a 50% reduction on the November 2019 figure

| People sleeping rough   | 2018-19 | 19-20<br>Q1 | 19-20<br>Q2 | 19-20<br>Q3 | 19-20<br>Q4 |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Average found per night | 5       | 7           | 10          | 11          |             |
| Range per night         |         | 0-21        | 0-25        | 2-25        |             |
| Individuals:            | 224     | 130         | 121         | 155         |             |
| Stock                   | 114     | 78          | 90          | 106         |             |
| Flow                    | 83      | 28          | 15          | 18          |             |
| Return                  | 27      | 16          | 16          | 31          |             |

Rough sleeping is rarely a result of a lack of accommodation alone and we can see that substance misuse is often an issue for people found by the outreach team, as are mental health issues. Information about people sleeping rough in Newcastle is recorded on the Newcastle Gateway by Newcastle's street outreach service based on their daily contacts with people who are found in the city centre and surrounding areas.

This has limitations and might not capture everyone who is sleeping rough. However, it does provide a good indication based on verified contacts with people who are bedded down as opposed to self-reports

Additional information from the Outreach Team shows:

- 52% (136) of the people found rough sleeping in 2019 were from outside Newcastle and don't have a 'local connection'. Of those with no local connection, 68% (84) were from local authorities in the north east.
- The longest known unbroken period of someone found rough sleeping was 75 days in 2019-20 Q3. This is a man from outside Newcastle who was assessed as having mental capacity and refuses all offers of accommodation or reconnection support
- Eight individuals have been found rough sleeping in each of the last six years. These eight people demonstrate the complex nature of those who sleep rough and between them have been open to, or accommodated by, our homeless services on 158 occasions. Even more demonstrable of complexity is the fact that they have been refused by services 257 times.

Despite the above and the increase in the numbers of people found sleeping rough, Newcastle has relatively low numbers of people sleeping rough and those who do sleep rough reflects the cumulative impact of austerity and the loss of services as a result of government cuts nationally. Other core cities that have reported significant increases in rough sleeping have started from a baseline of up to 300 people.

### What we need to do next

We have been successful under the MHCLG Rapid Rehousing Pathway where we were awarded navigator funding to support extended street outreach and harm reduction for people sleeping rough. We will use this funding to:

- Work with Changing Lives to maintain the additional capacity that enables extended outreach and an enhanced navigation offer that includes a 'refer and accompany' support for people sleeping rough. The funding will enable increased provision of the drop in at City Road and opportunities for targeted interventions including 1:1 appointments, in-reach from other partners, and accompanying people to appointments to increase access to support and services, particularly to take up offers of accommodation
- Provide an integrated harm reduction offer the team will be complemented by a Harm Reduction Worker seconded from CGL

Separate to MHCLG funding, we have worked with Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust (NTW), and the Clinical Commissioning Group to submit a bid for a Public Health England Rough Sleeping Grant: Testing Community Based Models of Access to Health Services. This proposal was successful and will deliver a model of in-reach provision of dual diagnosis workers into short-term relief accommodation.

MHCLG have also made available funding to Newcastle for a Rough Sleeping Reconnections Navigator to provide assertive reconnection support to people sleeping rough in Newcastle who don't have a local connection to the city. They will closely with partners in neighbouring authorities as well as other regional and national partners. This service is due to start in April 2020 and will be for 12 months.

A new Rough Sleeper Co-Ordinator began work in September 2019 their focus is to:

- coordinate activity to reduce rough sleeping of the relatively small number of people known to be sleeping rough now and to focus on the core street lifestyle group
- work towards to make a positive difference by ending these people's rough sleeping by testing the efficacy of existing resources and procedures
- identify opportunities based on individual casework for system improvement and workforce development

We are also developing a partnership with the Police to improve identification & consistency of responses to rough sleeping and begging in the city this will build on a pilot undertaken between City of London Police and City of London local authority. More information on this will be available in future briefings

The rough sleeping coordinator will also work closely with the existing Rough Sleeping Learning Framework lead and their role to develop an understanding the impact of Newcastle's policy aim to prevent homelessness and end rough sleeping and the effectiveness of our responses in meeting this aim. Their role will also be a link with the <u>Street Zero</u> approach, a movement for Newcastle to become a city where no one has to sleep rough and which seeks to bring together the city's collective investment, commitment and resources and to make it everyone's business to end rough sleeping by 2022. A Street Zero Partnership Board has been established providing an opportunity for these partners to provide the placebased leadership to improve our collective understanding of the causes of rough sleeping and ensure that all our investments respond to these causes.

## 5. How can you get involved

Please discuss the issues raised in this briefing with residents and service users. Staff from the Active Inclusion Newcastle Unit are happy to attend team meetings / service user groups if there are any specific issues that people would like to raise or discuss in more detail.

Copies of the action plan, protocols and governance arrangements are available online here

Some of the issues raised in this briefing will be discussed at the <u>Homelessness Prevention</u> <u>Forum</u> in March where you will have opportunity to feedback but if you are unable to attend the Forum and have comments you would like included please contact Sarah Blakey (Active Inclusion Officer) on 0191 277 1733 or email <u>activeinclusion@newcastle.gov.uk</u> if you have any comments or would like to get more involved.

March 2020